The Genesis of Chua's Circuit

Leon O. Chua

The Design Procedure

Following a non-technical personal reminiscence of the author's conception of Chua's circuit, this paper presents the systematic sequence of technical steps which the author used to design his chaotic circuit. The design procedure, though straightforward in nature, could not have been concocted without a working knowledge of several crucial properties of nonlinear circuits and their physical realizations.

1. Reminiscence: A Historical Anecdote

The event which led to the discovery of Chua's circuit took place in the laboratory of Professor T. Matsumoto of Waseda University on a late October afternoon in 1983, the day after my arrival in Tokyo to begin serving my JSPS (Japan Society for Promotion of Science) fellowship. There, in a well-orchestrated and instrument laden corner of Matsumoto's laboratory I was to have witnessed a live demonstration of presumably the world's first successful electronic circuit realization of the Lorenz Equations, on which Professor Matsumoto's research group had toiled for over a year. It was indeed a remarkable piece of electronic circuitry. It was painstakingly breadboarded to near perfection, exposing neatly more than a dozen IC components, and embellished by almost as many potentiometers and trimmers for fine tuning and tweaking their incredibly sensitive circuit board. There would have been no need for inventing a more robust chaotic circuit had Matsumoto's Lorenz Circuit worked. It did not. The fault lies not on Matsumoto's lack of experimental skill, but rather on the dearth of a critical nonlinear IC component with a near-ideal characteristic and a sufficiently large dynamic range; namely, the analog multiplier. Unfortunately, this component was the key to building an autonomous chaotic circuit in 1983. Only two autonomous systems of ordinary differential equations were generally accepted then as being chaotic, namely.

The Lorenz Equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\alpha (x - y) \\
\dot{y} &= \beta x - y - x z \\
\dot{z} &= xy - \gamma z
\end{align*}
\]

and The Rössler Equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -y - z \\
\dot{y} &= x + \alpha y \\
\dot{z} &= \beta + z (x - y)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(x, \beta, \gamma\) are parameters. Note that the nonlinearity in both systems is a function of two variables; namely, the product function.

Prior to 1983, the conspicuous absence of a reproducible functioning chaotic circuit or system seems to suggest that chaos is a pathological phenomenon which can exist only in mathematical abstractions, and in computer simulations of contrived equations. Consequently, electrical engineers in general, and nonlinear circuit theorists in particular, have heretofore paid little attention to a phenomenon which many had regarded as an esoteric curiosity. Such was the state of mind among the nonlinear circuit theory community, circa 1983. Matsumoto's Lorenz Circuit was to have turned the tide of indifference among nonlinear circuit theorists. Viewed from this historical perspective and motivation, the utter disappointments that descended upon all of us on that uneventful October afternoon was quite understandable. So profound was this failure that the wretched feeling persisted in my subconscious mind till about bedtime that evening. Suddenly it dawned upon me that since the main mechanism which gives rise to chaos, in both the Lorenz and the Rössler Equations, is the presence of at least two unstable equilibrium points – 3 for the Lorenz Equations and 2 for the Rössler Equations – it seems only prudent to design a simpler and more robust circuit having these attributes.

Having identified this alternative approach and strategy, it becomes a simple exercise in elementary nonlinear circuit theory [2] to enumerate systematically all such circuit candidates, of which there were only 8 of them, and then to systematically eliminate those that, for one reason or another, can not be chaotic. This simple exercise quickly led to two contenders, which upon an application of some educated intuition, tempered by 2 decades of daily exposure to nonlinear circuit phenomena, finally led me to the circuit in Fig. 1. The entire enumeration and elimination process was carried out in less than an hour, in the form of nearly illegible circuit diagrams that I had scribbled on napkins and blank edges of used envelopes. I had to resort to these makeshifts because no paper could be found at that late hour in the dormitory that I had moved into only the night before.
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For several months after this episode, Matsumoto continued to simulate my circuit over a wider range of circuit parameters, and to double check his computer data to ensure that the strange attractor he had observed was not in fact an artifact of his rather unsophisticated computer program, which was written in BASIC. In spite of my numerous proddings, he had refrained from actually breadboarding my circuit since his research group has never synthesized a prescribed non-monotonic $v-i$ characteristic before. Subsequently, I wrote to Zhong Guo Qin and Farhad Ayrom, who were members of my Nonlinear Electronics Laboratory in Berkeley, and suggested that they apply the synthesis procedure we had developed earlier to build this circuit. Their breadboard worked with virtually no fine tuning. Consequently, Zhong & Ayrom became the first researchers to have documented experimentally-observed chaos from Chua's circuit [17].

The next morning I presented my proposed circuit to Matsumoto and instructed him to choose the value of $R$ so that its load line [2] would intersect the 3 inner segments having a negative slope in Fig. 1(b).

Matsumoto immediately programmed the circuit equations into his computer. Shortly after that, greatly excited, he came running to my office and jubilantly announced that he had found a strange attractor! Matsumoto's extreme excitement at that instant was not unlike that of a little boy's first jump into a swimming pool, for he has had no prior experience with piecewise-linear dynamic circuits, or nonlinear oscillations, let alone strange attractors.

For several months after this episode, Matsumoto continued to simulate my circuit over a wider range of circuit parameters, and to double check his computer data to ensure that the strange attractor he had observed was not in fact an artifact of his rather unsophisticated computer program, which was written in BASIC. In spite of my numerous proddings, he had refrained from actually breadboarding my circuit since his research group has never synthesized a prescribed non-monotonic $v-i$ characteristic before. Subsequently, I wrote to Zhong Guo Qin and Farhad Ayrom, who were members of my Nonlinear Electronics Laboratory in Berkeley, and suggested that they apply the synthesis procedure we had developed earlier to build this circuit. Their breadboard worked with virtually no fine tuning. Consequently, Zhong & Ayrom became the first researchers to have documented experimentally-observed chaos from Chua's circuit [17].

(The following section presents a technical version of the design episode alluded to in the proceeding narrative.)

2. The Nonlinear Circuit Theory behind Chua's Circuit

2.1 Circuit Specifications

Since our goal is to build an autonomous electronic circuit which exhibits a chaotic electronic natural behavior, we can formulate our circuit specifications as follows:

Design a physically realizable autonomous circuit having exactly two or three unstable equilibrium points. The circuit should contain the least possible number of 2-terminal linear passive resistors, inductors, and capacitors, and exactly one 2-terminal nonlinear resistor characterized by an eventually-passive, piecewise-linear, voltage-controlled $v-i$ characteristic.

Clearly, the nonlinear resistor must be active in order for the circuit to become chaotic. In other words, the $v-i$ characteristic must have a non-empty intersection with the open 2nd quadrant, and/or with the open 4th quadrant. However, in order for such a nonlinear resistor to be physically realizable, it must be eventually passive in the sense that its $v-i$ characteristic must lie exclusively in the 1st and the 3rd quadrants outside of some circle of arbitrarily large but finite radius.

Note that we have stipulated that the $v-i$ characteristic be piecewise-linear for two strategic reasons. First, we have had extensive experience on synthesizing piecewise-linear characteristics, having published several papers on this subject, e.g. [3] and [4]. Secondly, we have had extensive experience in decomposing the dynamics of piecewise-linear dynamic circuits into the analysis of several linear (or strictly speaking, affine) systems [2], [8] and [9].

We have also stipulated that the nonlinear resistor be voltage-controlled because it is easier to synthesize such elements using op-amps and pn-junction diodes as building blocks [5], [10].

2.2 Systematic Design Procedure

Just like designing any circuit to satisfy a prescribed set of specifications, Chua's circuit was designed using a step-by-step systematic synthesis procedure.

1) Determining the Minimum Number of Circuit Elements

An autonomous system of ordinary differential equations having less than 3 state variables can not be chaotic [13]. Let us therefore choose 3 linear passive energy storage elements for our circuit. Since the specifications allow only one nonlinear 2-terminal resistor, the remaining elements for our circuit are linear passive resistors. We do not need any independent
sources since the nonlinear resistor, being active, will already have an internal power supply. The number of linear resistors can be minimized by applying standard equivalent circuit techniques to the resulting circuit topology, which we determine next.

2) Determining the Circuit Topology

Let us extract the 3 linear energy storage elements and the 2-terminal nonlinear resistor \( \mathcal{R} \) and connect them across the ports of a 4-port \( N_R \), made of 2-terminal linear passive resistors. Depending on our choice of the type of energy storage elements, there are 4 distinct circuit configurations, as shown in Fig. 2. We can immediately eliminate the RC circuit configuration Fig. 2(a), and the RL circuit configuration of Fig. 2(b), because two-element kind reciprocal circuits cannot oscillate, let alone become chaotic [6]. The remaining two circuit configurations in Fig. 2 are dual of each other, and hence are equally valid candidates. Let us choose the last circuit in Fig. 2(d) because high quality and tunable precision inductors are much more expensive than capacitors.

Having chosen the circuit configuration of Fig. 2(d), and recalling that the nonlinear resistor \( \mathcal{R} \) is voltage-controlled (from our specifications), it immediately follows from standard circuit modeling techniques [7] that except for the rather inflexible case where the two capacitors formed a loop with \( \mathcal{R} \), one of the two capacitors must necessarily be connected across \( \mathcal{R} \), so that the circuit configuration in Fig. 2(d) can be further simplified to that shown in Fig. 3(a), where \( N_R \) is now a 3-port made exclusively of 2-terminal linear passive resistors.

At DC equilibriums, the capacitors can be replaced by open circuits and the inductor by a short circuit, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Since the resulting one-port \( N_0 \) contains only 2-terminal linear passive resistors, it can be replaced by a Thevenin equivalent resistance \( R_0 > 0 \) as shown in Fig. 3(c). Each intersection between the load line \( v_R = -R_0 i_R \) with the \( v_R - i_R \) characteristic of \( \mathcal{R} \) (yet to be determined) identifies the location of an equilibrium point of the circuit. Since the Specifica-

![Fig. 2. Four distinct configurations involving 3 energy storage elements. The 4-port \( N_R \) is made of 2-terminal linear passive resistors.](image)

![Fig. 3. (a) Circuit configuration which defines a well-posed circuit having 2 linear capacitors, 1 linear inductor, a 2-terminal non-monotonic voltage-controlled resistor, and linear passive resistors. (b) the DC equivalent circuit associated with the circuit in (a). (c) The 1-port \( N_0 \) in (b) is equivalent to a single linear passive resistance \( R_0 \). Since \( R_0 > 0 \), the load line has a negative slope in the \( v_R - i_R \) plane.](image)

![Fig. 4. Assuming the linear 3-port \( N_R \) in Fig. 3(a) contains a single linear positive resistance \( R > 0 \), there are only 8 distinct circuit topologies.](image)
tions called for the use of a minimum number of linear resistors, let us assume that \( N_R \) contains only one linear resistor \( R > 0 \). Having made this simplifying assumption, the circuit configuration of Fig. 3(a) can assume only 8 distinct topologies, as shown in Fig. 4. Our next task is to choose the most promising candidate.

The DC equilibrium circuit corresponding to the 8 circuit topologies in Fig. 4 are shown in Fig. 5. An examination of these topologies shows that the circuits in Figs. 5(a) and (b) can be eliminated from further consideration because the equivalent linear resistor \( R_0 \) in each case is a short circuit. The circuit in Fig. 5(c) and (d) can also be eliminated because \( R_0 \) in this case is an open circuit. For the remaining 4 circuits, the one shown in Fig. 4(e) can also be eliminated because the linear resistor \( R \) is in parallel with the nonlinear resistor \( \Phi \), and can therefore be "absorbed" within \( \Phi \), thereby resulting in an open circuit for \( R_0 \).

We can likewise eliminate the circuit shown in Fig. 4(f) because the two parallel capacitors \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) can be replaced by an equivalent capacitor, thereby resulting in a second-order circuit, which can not be chaotic. We are finally left with only two candidates, Figs. 4(g) and 4(h), both of which have \( R_0 = R > 0 \).

There is no sound technical reason to favor one candidate over the other at this point. However, the presence of the \( L_1, C_2 \) resonant sub circuit on the right hand side of Fig. 4(h) does provide an advantage, since its oscillatory mechanism is often a precursor to chaos. Consequently, let us choose the circuit in Fig. 4(h) as our most likely circuit candidate for chaos.

3) Determining the \( v_R - i_R \) Characteristic

Our final task is to determine the appropriate nonlinearity for \( \Phi \) in order to satisfy the specifications that the circuit must have exactly two, or three, unstable equilibrium points. Since, except for the nonlinear resistor \( \Phi \), all circuit elements are passive, and hence the instability condition implies that each equilibrium point must lie on a segment of the piecewise-linear \( v_R - i_R \) characteristic that has a negative slope. This negative-slope condition is equivalent to the small-signal equivalent circuit about each equilibrium point being a negative resistance, which is essential for instability [11].

Since \( R_0 > 0 \), the load line is a straight line (through the origin) with a negative slope equal to \( G = -1/R_0 < 0 \). In order to have 2 unstable equilibrium points, there are only 4 distinct types of continuous 2-segment piecewise-linear characteristics that have a negative slope for both segments, and which could intersect the load line at 2 points, including the origin, as depicted in Figs. 6 and 7. The characteristics in Figs. 6(a) and 7(a) can be eliminated because they are not voltage-controlled functions. The two remaining characteristics in Figs. 6(b) and 7(b), which are dual of each other, are however viable candidates. Unfortunately, they are not eventually passive. The simplest eventually-passive \( v_R - i_R \) characteristic which contains Figs. 6(b) and 7(b) as a subset are shown in Figs. 8(a) and (b), respectively. Since they are dual of each other, either one can be chosen. Since this circuit has only 2 unstable equilibrium points, we could expect that any strange attractor from this circuit would have a structure that resembles the Rössler attractor [1].

To obtain 3 unstable equilibrium points, as in the Lorenz Equations, only two distinct types of continuous 3-segment piecewise-linear characteristic, with a negative slope for each segment, could satisfy the instability condition, as depicted in Figs. 9(a) and (b), respectively. The characteristic in Fig. 9(a) can be eliminated because it is not a voltage-controlled function. The remaining characteristic in Fig. 9(b) is, however, perfectly valid in so far as satisfying the instability condition is concerned. However, it is not eventually passive. The simplest eventually-passive piecewise-linear characteristic which contains Fig. 9(b) as a subset is the 5-segment characteristic shown in Fig. 10.

Although the \( v_R - i_R \) characteristics given in Figs. 8(a), 8(b), and 10(a) do satisfy both the instability condition and the eventual passivity condition stipulated in the specifications, let us choose the latter for three reasons.

1) The characteristics of Fig. 10(a) contains both characteristics of Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) as subsets, and hence if the circuit associated with either Fig. 8(a) or 8(b) has a strange attractor, so will Fig. 10(a). Moreover, the presence of a third unstable equilibrium point in Fig. 10(a) provides the strong possibility for the existence of other strange attractors, thereby making this circuit richer in chaotic dynamics.

Fig. 5. The DC equilibrium circuits associated with the 8 chaotic circuit candidates from Fig. 4.
Fig. 6. Only 4 distinct piecewise-linear curves having 2 connected negative-slope segments can exist which intersect the negative-slope load line at exactly two points. The characteristic in (a) is a double-valued function of both $v_R$ and $i_R$. The characteristic in (b) is a single-valued function, whose lower segment, if extended indefinitely, will remain within the 4th quadrant, and is hence not physically realizable.

Fig. 7. The remaining piecewise-linear characteristics alluded to in the preceding figure caption are the dual of those in Fig. 6. Consequently, the characteristic in (a) is also a double-valued function of both $v_R$ and $i_R$, while the characteristic in (b) is a single-valued function, whose upper segment, if extended indefinitely, will remain within the 2nd quadrant, and is hence not physically realizable.

Fig. 8. The 2 dual 4-segment characteristic in (a) and (b) are the simplest eventually passive, hence physically realizable $v_R - i_R$ characteristic which include that of Figs. 6(b) and 7(b) respectively, as a subset.

2) It is actually easier to realize the $v_R - i_R$ characteristic of Fig. 10(a) because it exhibits odd symmetry: there exist simple techniques to synthesize odd-symmetrical $v-i$ characteristics [2]. Moreover, since the associated state equation will also be odd symmetric, the analytical study of this circuit will be no more complicated than that of the circuit associated with Fig. 8.

3) Although the piecewise-linear characteristic of Fig. 8, has two unstable equilibrium points, an extraneous third but stable equilibrium point Q had been inadvertently introduced because this point falls on the positive-slope segment which we have augmented earlier to ensure eventual passivity. While it is theoretically possible to push the breakpoint of this segment as far to the right as possible to prevent it from interfering with the originally intended dynamics, this approach may not be easy to implement in practice in view of the limited cut-in voltage (less than 1 volt) in pn-junction diodes, and the limited saturation voltage (less than 20 volts) in op-amps.

The above considerations therefore suggest that we choose the odd-symmetric 5-segment piecewise-linear function of Fig. 10(a) as the $v_R - i_R$ characteristic for the nonlinear resistor $\mathcal{R}$. Note that the two positive-slope segments we augmented earlier to ensure eventual passivity did not introduce any new equilibrium points, provided the resistance $R$ is not too large to cause its load line to swing beyond the outermost breakpoints, as depicted in Fig. 10(b). Having made this choice, we obtain the Chua's circuit of Fig. 1.
Fig. 9. Only 2 distinct piecewise-linear curves having 3 negative-slope segments can exist which intersect the negative-slope load line at exactly 3 points. The characteristic in (a) is a triple-valued function of both $v_R$ and $i_R$. The characteristic in (b) is a single-valued function of $v_R$. However, if the end segments are extended indefinitely, the curve will remain in the 2nd and the 4th quadrants, respectively, and hence is not physically realizable.

Fig. 10. The simplest 5-segment piecewise-linear characteristic which is eventually passive, and hence physically realizable, and which contains the 3-segment characteristic of Fig. 9(b) as a subset. The load line in (a) intersects this characteristic at 3 points in the negative-slope segments, as called for in the specifications. If the value of $R_o$ is chosen too large, however, the two outer equilibrium points will fall on the positive-slope outer segments, and become stable equilibrium points, thereby violating the specifications.

3. Concluding Remarks

The chaotic circuit of Fig. 1 was first announced in [15] where Matsumoto had named it Chua's Circuit. However, since this seminal article on Chua's Circuit involves only computer simulations where the two end segments needed for physical realization are irrelevant, Matsumoto uses only the 3 negative-slope segments of Fig. 9(b) and refers to this characteristic in his article as a "simplified version" of my original circuit. This sentence by Matsumoto was misleading because the circuit remains unchanged: only the $v_R - i_R$ characteristic had been truncated to consist of only the negative-slope segments, an obvious observation when viewed from the preceding synthesis procedure. For the more hardware-oriented readers, however, it is important to stress that any electronic circuit realization of this 3-segment characteristic - and there exist many such realizations - will necessarily result in the eventual characteristic rolling off, either gently, or abruptly, so that the outermost portion of the characteristic will eventually lie in the 1st and the 3rd quadrants. In the simplest cases, each outermost portion of the $v_R - i_R$ characteristics will approach a positive-slope straight line. Indeed, the measured characteristics of all known electronic circuit realizations [14], [15], [17] of the 3-segment $v_R - i_R$ characteristic of Fig. 9(b) are virtually identical to the 5-segment characteristic shown in Fig. 1(b).

As a final remark, we wish to point out that the contending circuit candidate in Fig. 4(g) which we had abandoned earlier in favor of Chua's Circuit is interesting in its own right. In particular, if we add a linear passive resistor in series with the inductor $L$, in Fig. 4(g), we would obtain the canonical realization [12] of Chua's Circuit family [16]. More than 30 distinct strange attractors have so far been discovered from this canonical circuit!

Appendix: A Chronological Bibliography on Chua's Circuit
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**Book-Review · Buchbesprechung**


Die drei Autoren dieses Bandes rekrutieren sich aus einem kanadischen Zentrum der Verteidigungsforserung, der Universität von Ottowa und der Industriefirma Bell-Northern in Ottowa/Kanada. Er zielt auf die allgemeine Miniaturisierung von Radargeräten hin, was zu den mm-Wellenlängen führen muß, speziell auf Gruppenantennen in gedruckter (monolithischer) Schaltungstechnik. Arbeiten von zehn Jahren sind zusammengefaßt, um „Ingenieure in der Praxis“ beim Entwurf zu unterstützen.

Begünstigt für die Brauchbarkeit solcher Gruppen wirken Substrate, besonders deren Verluste und das Aufkommen von Oberflächenwellentypen bei hohen Werten der Dielektrizitätskonstante (Kap. 1). Der Vergleich von analytischen und numerischen Berechnungsverfahren folgt in Kap. 2, wobei auf Ableitungen auf Kosten von Literaturhinweisen weitgehend verzichtet wird. Vermutlich besteht die Hauptarbeit hier in der Originalarbeit zurückgewiesen. Verwirrend wirkt, weil für die gleiche Leitergeometrie verschiedene Koordinatensysteme verwendet werden (Fig. 3.3, 4.4). Kapitel 3 geht auf die Auswahl des wichtigsten Substrat-Materials (Anisotropien!) und weitere Hersteller-Strategien ein. Kapitel 4 ist den speziellen Plättchen-Geometrien (patch) und deren elektrischen Eigenschaften gewidmet, während Kapitel 5 auf die verschiedenen Einkoppelsonden-Arten (Hohlleiter, konzentrische, Streifenleitung etc.) eingeht. Weg von den schmalen Bandbreiten, die durch die relativ hohen Güten der Elemente und der zugehörigen Dielektrika problematisch sind, zeigt Kapitel 6 Wege zur Steigerung dieses Parameters und Kapitel 7 beschäftigt sich mit den Gruppen-Topologien bei Steh- und Wanderwellen-Einspeisung. Leider werden dabei die grundlegenden Grundlagen wie das Einführen von elektrischen und magnetischen „Wänden“ nur flüchtig gestreift.

Mannigfaltige Vergleichstabellen und die vielen Grafiken, in denen die berechneten Kurven mit Messungen verglichen werden, helfen in der Tat beim Entwurf solcher miniaturisierter mm-Wellen-Gruppenantennen unter Berücksichtigung von Z.B. den Außentreten blinder Winkel und Flokkaten (spots) beim (Haupt-)Keulenschwenken mittels elektronisch angesteuerter Phasenschieber.

Der vorliegende Band ist selbst unter der Berücksichtigung der genannten, didaktischen Mängel sicherlich Antenneningenieuren beim Entwurf solcher dazuzielen, modernen Gruppenstrahler (phased arrays) eine große Hilfe.

R. Wohllibn